

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,331 12/14/2001		Lalitha Suryanarayana	T00355	8630	
28461 7	590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	FER GILSON & LIONE	AZAD, ABUL K			
P.O. BOX 1039	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			2626	TATER NOMBER	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/683,	331	SURYANARAYANA, LALITHA					
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit					
		ABUL K		2626					
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress				
WHICHEVI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE M If time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this commor reply is specified above, the maximum st ly within the set or extended period for reply eived by the Office later than three months at t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF To 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no a nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on 14 December	2001						
		2b)⊠ This action is							
′=		•		nsecution as to the	e merits is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of		,	,						
	n(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the a	application							
	f the above claim(s) is/a	• •	onsideration						
	n(s) is/are allowed.		51151G51G11511.						
· <u></u>	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>	n(s) is/are objected to.								
·	n(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requirement						
Application Pa			roqui omoni.						
	•								
	pecification is objected to by th								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	cement drawing sheet(s) including			=					
TI)LI THE O	ath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner, r	Note the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
	wledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
1.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.						
2.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received in Applicati	on No					
3. 🗌	Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docun	nents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Ri	ule 17.2(a)).						
* See the	e attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the cei	tified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)									
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
	Mail Date <u>4/15/02</u> .		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/683,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-30 are pending in this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alpdemir (2002/0035474 A1).

As per claim 1, Alpdemir teaches, "a method for aural review of a privacy policy in a wireless environment, the wireless environment comprising a mobile station having user preferences and a Web site", the method comprising the steps of:

"retrieving a first version of the privacy policy comprising a network location" (paragraph 0138);

"determining a need for a natural language version of the privacy policy in response to the first version and the user preferences" (paragraph 0139);

"retrieving the natural language version from the network location when required by the user preferences" (paragraph 0137); and

"presenting an audio representation of the natural language version on the mobile station" (paragraph 0138).

Application/Control Number: 09/683,331

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 2, Alpdemir teaches, "requesting an input in response to the natural language version of the privacy policy" (paragraph 0141).

As per claim 3, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the first version of the privacy policy is an extensible mark-up language version" (paragraph 0138).

As per claim 4, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the step of retrieving the natural language version comprises retrieving a file in an audio format" (paragraph 0138).

As per claim 5, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the audio format is a Multimedia internet Mail Extension format" (paragraph 0139).

As per claim 6, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the network location is a universal resource locator that is presented in the first version as a discuri parameter" (paragraph 0233).

As per claim 7, Alpdemir teaches, "receiving a response to request Internet content" (paragraph 0231).

As per claim 8, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the input is an aural response" (paragraph 0143).

As per claim 9, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the input is a text response".

As per claim 10, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the input is a dual-tone multifrequency tone" (paragraph 0146).

As per claim 11, Alpdemir teaches, "wherein the step of retrieving the natural language version comprises retrieving an audio representation of the natural language version" (paragraph 0143).

As per claims 12-18 and 25-28, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-11.

As per claim 19, Alpdemir teaches, "a method for aural review of a privacy policy in a wireless environment, the wireless environment comprising a mobile station having user preferences, a wireless access protocol-enabled (WAP) proxy, and a Web site", the method comprising the steps of:

"the WAP proxy receiving a request for a natural language version of the privacy policy, the request comprising a network location of the privacy policy and the user preferences" (paragraph 0137);

"determining a need for a natural language version of the privacy policy in response to the user preferences" (paragraph 0138);

"transmitting a request for the natural language version of the privacy policy to the Web site on behalf of a user" (paragraph 0136);

"receiving the natural language version from the Web site" (paragraph 0138);

"transforming the natural language version to a voice extensible mark-up language (VXML) compatible format version of the privacy policy" (paragraph 0138);

"generating an identification for the VXML format version of the privacy policy" (paragraph 0138); and

"transmitting the identification to the mobile station" (paragraph 0139).

As per claim 20, Alpdemir teaches, "the WAP proxy receiving a call from the mobile station in response to the identification" (paragraph 137).

As per claim 21, Alpdemir teaches, "including the step of responding to the

Page 5

privacy policy via dual-tone multi-frequency while on the call" (paragraph 0146).

As per claim 22, Alpdemir teaches, "responding to the privacy policy via speech

while on the call" (paragraph 0146).

As per claims 23, 24, 29 and 30, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the

same reasons set forth in the rejection claims 19-22.

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abul K. Azad whose telephone number is (571) 272-

**7599.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571) 272-7602.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450** 

Or faxed to: (571) 273-8300.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria,

VA-22314 (Customer Service Window).

Application/Control Number: 09/683,331

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 20, 2006

Abul K. Azad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

Page 6